arXiv:1402.4801v1 [math.AP] 19 Feb 2014

THE EXISTENCE OF A GLOBAL ATTRACTOR FOR THE FORCED CRITICAL SURFACE QUASI-GEOSTROPHIC EQUATION IN L^2

ALEXEY CHESKIDOV AND MIMI DAI

Abstract. We prove that the critical surface quasi-geostrophic equation driven by a force f possesses a compact global attractor in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ provided $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for some $p > 2$.

1. Introduction

We consider the two dimensional critical surface Quasi-Geostrophic (SQG) equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \kappa \Lambda \theta &= f, \\ u &= R^\perp \theta, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

on the torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = [0, L]^2$, where $\kappa > 0$, $\Lambda = \sqrt{-\Delta}$ is the Zygmund operator, and

$$R^\perp \theta = \Lambda^{-1}(-\partial_2 \theta, \partial_1 \theta).$$

The scalar function θ represents the potential temperature and the vector function u represents the fluid velocity. The initial data $\theta(0) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and the force $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for some $p > 2$ are assumed to have zero average. Equation (1.1) describes the evolution of the surface temperature field in a rapidly rotating and stably stratified fluid with potential velocity [7]. As pointed out in [7], this equation attracts interest of scientists and mathematicians due to two major reasons. First, it is a fundamental model for the actual geophysical flows with applications in atmosphere and oceanography study. Second, from the mathematical point of view, the behavior of strongly nonlinear solutions to (1.1) with $\kappa = 0, f = 0$ in 2D and the behavior of potentially singular solutions to the Euler's equation in 3D are strikingly analogous which has been justified both analytically and numerically. For literature the readers are refereed to [6, 7, 11, 20] and the references therein.

Equation (1.1) is usually referred as the critical SQG [7], since the highest controled norm is scaling invarient. However, it is not known whether a dramatic change in the behavior of solutions occurs when the dissipation power crosses 1. The global regularity problem of the critical SQG equation has been very challenging due to the balance of the nonlinear term and the dissipative term. In the unforced case this problem has been resolved by Kieslev, Nazarov and Volberg [19], Caffarelli and Vasseur [2], Kieslev and Nazarov [17] and Constantin and Vicol [10] independently, using different sophisticated methods. The main ingredient of the proof of global regularity in [19] relies on constructing a special family of Lipschitz

The work of Alexey Cheskidov was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-1108864.

moduli of continuity that are preserved by the dissipative evolution. The proof of [2] applies the ideas of De Giorgi iteration method to the nonlocal parabolic equation. As a first step, the authors make use of the interplay between $|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta|$ and $|\theta|$ to prove that a weak solution in L^2 is bounded in L^∞. The second step uses a more delicate analysis to show that such a solution is Hölder continuous. Later, to find a bridge between the proofs of [19] and [2], Kieslev and Nazarov [17] reproved the global regularity using a completely different method which applied elementary tools to control the Hölder norms by choosing a suitable family of test functions (Hardy molecules). Very recently, Constantin and Vicol [10] proposed another proof of the global regularity which provided a transparent way to see that the dissipation is dominating the nonlinear term in the critical SQG equation. The main tool is a nonlinear maximum principle which introduces nonlinear lower bounds for the linear nonlocal operator Λ. Applying the method introduced in [19], global well-posdeness and a decay estimate are established for the critical dissipative SQG equation in the whole space in [14], global regularity is obtained for the critical SQG equation with smooth forcing term and initial data in [15] and for the equation with a linear dispersive force and sufficiently smooth initial data in [18], and Hölder continuity is obtained for critical linear drift-diffusion equations in [23].

There have also been a few results regarding the long time behavior of the solutions to the critical SQG equation [8, 9, 13, 21, 22]. In the unforced case, the decay rate and lower bound of decay rates have been obtained for mild solutions in [22]; the decay estimates have been established for regular solutions in [13]. In the forced case, decay rate has been obtained for weak solutions, provided the force is time dependent and satisfies certain decay assumptions [21]. In the forced case with a special class of time independent force, the long time average behavior of viscosity solutions has been addressed and the absence of anomalous dissipation is obtained by Constantin, Tarfulea, and Vicol in [8]. Recently the authors also studied the long time dynamics of regular solutions of the forced critical SQG based on their new proof of regularity [9]. This proof is described to be dynamic in the sense that the Hölder norm of a solution is shown to be dependent only on the force for large enough time. With the assumption that the time independent force $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2) \cap H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and the initial data in $H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$, the authors proved the existence of a compact attractor. It is a global attractor in the classical sense in $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for $s \in (1, 3/2)$, and it attracts all the points (but not bounded sets) in $H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Moreover, the authors proved that the attractor has a finite box-counting dimension.

In the present paper we prove that the critical SQG equation (1.1) possesses a global attractor in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, provided the force f is solely in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for $p > 2$. As the first step, it is established that for any initial data in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ a weak (viscosity) solution is bounded in L^∞ on any interval $[t_0, \infty)$, $t_0 > 0$. The main tool is an application of the De Giorgi iteration method to the forced critical SQG as it was done by Caffarelli and Vasseur in [2] in the unforced case. This is the only part that requires the force to be in L^p for some $p > 2$. Second, in the spirit of Cheskidov, Constantin, Friedlander, and Shvydkoy result [4] on Onsager's conjecture, the Littlewood-Paley decomposition technique is used to show that bounded weak solutions have zero energy flux and hence satisfy the energy equality. The energy equality immediately implies the continuity of weak solutions in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. In the third step, we follow an abstract framework of evolutionary systems introduced by

Cheskidov and Foias [5] to show the existence of a weak global attractor. Finally, with all the above ingredients at hand, we are able to apply a result established in [3] by Cheskidov to prove that the weak global attractor is in fact a strongly compact strong global attractor. Namely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with $p > 2$. Then the critical SQG equation (1.1) possesses a compact global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$,*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\theta_0 : \ \theta_0 = \theta(0) \text{ for some bounded complete (ancient) viscosity solution } \theta(t)\}.$$

In addition, for any bounded set $B \subset L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $\epsilon > 0$, and $T > 0$, there exists t_0, such that for any $t^* > t_0$, every viscosity solution $\theta(t)$ with $\theta(0) \in B$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{d_s}(\theta(t), v(t)) < \epsilon, \qquad \forall t \in [t^*, t^* + T],$$

for some complete trajectory $v(t)$ on the global attractor ($v(t) \in \mathcal{A}\ \forall\ t \in (-\infty, \infty)$).

Note that the regularity of solutions is not needed for our approach, and is in fact an open problem. Therefore, even with stronger assumptions on the force $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2) \cap H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$, we only know that the L^2 global attractor contains Constantin-Vicol H^1 attractor. It is an open question whether they coincide.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to proving that initial data in L^2 produces weak (viscosity) solutions with bounded norm in L^∞; Section 3 is devoted to proving that bounded weak solutions are actually continuous in L^2; in Section 4 we prove that a strong global attractor exists in L^2.

1.1. **Notation.** We denote by $A \lesssim B$ an estimate of the form $A \le CB$ with some absolute constant C, and by $A \sim B$ an estimate of the form $C_1 B \le A \le C_2 B$ with some absolute constants C_1, C_2.

To simplify the notations we denote $\|\cdot\|_p = \|\cdot\|_{L^p}$, and $(\cdot,\cdot)$ stands for the L^2-inner product.

2. L^∞ estimate

The goal of this section is to show that every viscosity solution to (1.1) has finite norm in L^∞ provided the force f is in L^p for some $p > 2$.

Definition 2.1. A weak solution to (1.1) is a function $\theta \in C_{\mathrm{w}}([0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))$ with zero spatial average that satisfies (1.1) in a distributional sense. That is, for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2 \times (0,T))$,

$$-\int_0^T (\theta, \phi_t)dt - \int_0^T (u\theta, \nabla\phi)dt + \kappa \int_0^T (\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta, \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi)dt = (\theta_0, \phi(x,0)) + \int_0^T (f, \phi)dt.$$

A weak solution $\theta(t)$ on $[0,T]$ is called a viscosity solution if there exist sequences $\epsilon_n \to 0$ and $\theta_n(t)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \theta_n}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \theta_n + \kappa \Lambda \theta_n + \epsilon_n \Delta \theta_n &= f, \\ u &= R^\perp \theta, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

such that $\theta_n \to u$ in $C_{\mathrm{w}}([0,T]; L^2)$. Standard arguments imply that for any initial data $\theta_0 \in L^2$ there exists a viscosity solution $\theta(t)$ of (1.1) on $[0,\infty)$ with $\theta(0) = \theta_0$ (see [12], for example).

In the case of zero force, Caffarelli and Vasseur derived a level set energy inequality using a harmonic extension [2]. Even though the force does not present any problems, we sketch a different proof here for completeness.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\theta(t)$ be a viscosity solution to (1.1) on $[0,T]$ with $\theta(0) \in L^2$. Then for every $\lambda > 0$, the truncated function $\tilde{\theta} = (\theta - \lambda)_+$ satisfies the level set energy inequalities:*

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\tilde{\theta}(t_2)\|_2^2 + \kappa \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\theta}\|_2^2 \, dt \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\tilde{\theta}(t_1)\|_2^2 + \int_{t_1}^{t_2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f\tilde{\theta}\, dxdt \tag{2.3}$$

for all $t_2 \in [t_1, T]$ and a.e. $t_1 \in [0,T]$.

Proof. We only show a priori estimates. It is clear how to pass to the limit in (2.2) as $\epsilon \to 0$. For each $\lambda > 0$, denote $\varphi(\theta) = (\theta - \lambda)_+$. Note that φ is Lipschitz and

$$\varphi'(\theta)\varphi(\theta) = \varphi(\theta).$$

Multiplying the first equation of (1.1) by $\varphi'(\theta)\varphi(\theta)$ and integrating over $\mathbb{T}^2$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varphi^2(\theta)dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \nabla\cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\varphi^2(\theta)u\right)dx \\ &\qquad +\kappa\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \Lambda\theta\varphi(\theta)dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f\varphi(\theta)dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Note that

$$\Lambda\theta\varphi(\theta) = 0, \qquad \text{on the set} \qquad \left\{x \in \mathbb{T}^2 : \theta(x) \leq \lambda\right\}.$$

On the other hand, on the set $\left\{x \in \mathbb{T}^2 : \theta(x) > \lambda\right\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda\theta(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^2} P.V.\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{\theta(x) - \theta(x-y)}{|y+Lj|^3}dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^2} P.V.\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{\theta(x) - \lambda - [\theta(x-y) - \lambda]}{|y+Lj|^3}dy \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^2} P.V.\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{\varphi(\theta(x)) - [\theta(x-y) - \lambda]_+}{|y+Lj|^3}dy \\ &= \Lambda\varphi(\theta(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where L is the length of the periodic box. Therefore, $\Lambda\theta(x) \geq \Lambda\varphi(\theta(x))$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Thus, it follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varphi^2(\theta)dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \nabla\cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\varphi^2(\theta)u\right)dx \\ &\qquad +\kappa\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(\theta)\right|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f\varphi(\theta)dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Since the integral $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \nabla\cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\varphi^2(\theta)u\right)dx = 0$, the truncated energy inequality (2.3) follows from integrating (2.5) over time.

□

The proof of the following theorem is similar to the one in [2]. We just have to take extra care of the forcing term.

Lemma 2.3. *Let θ be a viscosity solution of (1.1) on $[0,\infty)$ with $\theta(0)\in L^2$ and $f\in L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for some $p>2$. Then*

$$\theta\in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2\times(t_0,\infty)),$$

for every $t_0>0$.

Proof. Take the levels

$$\lambda_k = M(1-2^{-k})$$

for some M to be determined later, and denote the truncated function

$$\theta_k = (\theta-\lambda_k)_+.$$

Fix $t_0>0$. We aim to show that θ is bounded for $t>t_0$. Let $T_k = t_0(1-2^{-k})$ and the level set of energy as:

$$U_k = \sup_{t\geq T_k}\|\theta_k(t)\|_2^2 + 2\kappa\int_{T_k}^\infty \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_k(t)\|_2^2\,dt.$$

We take $\tilde\theta=\theta_k$ and $t_1=s\in(T_{k-1},T_k)$, $t_2=t>T_k$ in the truncated energy inequality (2.3). Then taking $t_1=s$, $t_2=T>t$, adding the two inequalities, taking lim sup in T and then sup in t gives

$$U_k\leq 2\|\theta_k(s)\|_2^2 + 2\int_{T_{k-1}}^\infty\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}|f(x)\theta_k(x,\tau)|\,dxd\tau,$$

for a.a. $s\in(T_{k-1},T_k)$. Taking the average in s on $[T_{k-1},T_k]$ yields

$$U_k\leq \frac{2^{k+1}}{t_0}\int_{T_{k-1}}^\infty\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}\theta_k^2(s)dxds + 2\int_{T_{k-1}}^\infty\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}|f(x)\theta_k(x,t)|\,dxdt. \tag{2.6}$$

By an interpolation inequality between $L^\infty(L^2)$ and $L^2(H^{\frac{1}{2}})$, we have that

$$\|\theta_l\|^2_{L^3(\mathbb{T}^2\times[T_l,\infty))}\leq CU_l \tag{2.7}$$

where C is a constant independent of l.

Note that

$$\theta_{k-1}\geq 2^{-k}M \qquad \text{on } \{(x,t):\theta_k(x,t)>0\},$$

hence

$$1_{\{\theta_k>0\}}\leq\frac{2^k}{M}\theta_{k-1}.$$

Therefore, using the fact $\theta_k\leq\theta_{k-1}$ and (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2^{k+1}}{t_0}\int_{T_{k-1}}^\infty\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}\theta_k^2(x,s)dxds\\
\leq&\frac{2^{k+1}}{t_0}\int_{T_{k-1}}^\infty\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}\theta_{k-1}^2(x,s)1_{\{\theta_k>0\}}dxds\\
\leq&\frac{2^{2k+1}}{t_0M}\int_{T_{k-1}}^\infty\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}|\theta_{k-1}|^3dxds\\
\leq&C\frac{2^{2k+1}}{t_0M}U_{k-1}^{3/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

On the other hand, since $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with $p > 2$, we obtain, for $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{T_{k-1}}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |f(x)\theta_k(t)|\, dxdt \\
\leq &\|f\|_p \int_{T_{k-1}}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\theta|_k^{p'} dx \right)^{1/p'} dt \\
\leq &\|f\|_p \int_{T_{k-1}}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\theta|_{k-1}^{p'} 1^2_{\{\theta_k > 0\}} dx \right)^{1/p'} dt \\
\leq &\|f\|_p \frac{2^{2k/p'}}{M^{2/p'}} \int_{T_{k-1}}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\theta_{k-1}|^{2+p'} dx \right)^{1/p'} dt \\
\leq &\|f\|_p \frac{2^{2k/p'}}{M^{2/p'}} \sup_{t \geq T_{k-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\theta_{k-1}|^2 dx \right)^{(2-p')/2p'} \int_{T_{k-1}}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\theta_{k-1}|^4 dx \right)^{1/2} dt \\
\leq &\|f\|_p \frac{2^{2k/p'}}{M^{2/p'}} U_{k-1}^{1+(2-p')/2p'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}
$$

Note that $(2-p')/2p' \in (0, 1/2]$. Combining (2.6), (2.8) and (2.9) yields

$$
U_k \leq C \frac{2^{2k+1}}{t_0 M} U_{k-1}^{3/2} + C \frac{2^{2k/p'}}{M^{2/p'}} U_{k-1}^{1+(2-p')/2p'} \tag{2.10}
$$

with a constant C independent of k. For a large enough M, the above nonlinear iteration inequality implies that U_k converges to 0 as $k \to \infty$. Thus $\theta \leq M$ for almost every x and all $t \geq t_0$. Applying the same argument to $-\theta$ gives the desired result.

□

3. Continuity in L^2

3.1. **Littlewood-Paley decomposition.** The techniques presented in this section rely strongly on the Littlewood-Paley decomposition that we recall here briefly. For a more detailed description on this theory we refer the readers to the books by Bahouri, Chemin and Danchin [1] and Grafakos [16].

We denote $\lambda_q = 2^q$ for an integer q. A nonnegative radial function $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is chosen such that

$$
\chi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \text{for } |\xi| \geq 1. \end{cases}
$$

Let $\varphi(\xi) = \chi(\lambda_1^{-1}\xi) - \chi(\xi)$ and

$$
\varphi_q(\xi) = \begin{cases} \varphi(\lambda_q^{-1}\xi) & \text{for } q \geq 0, \\ \chi(\xi) & \text{for } q = -1. \end{cases}
$$

For a tempered distribution vector field u on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ we consider the Littlewood-Paley projection

$$
u_q(x) := \Delta_q u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{u}_k \varphi_q(k) e^{ik\cdot x}, \quad q \geq -1, \tag{3.11}
$$

where $\hat{u}_k$ is the Fourier coefficient of u. Then the Littlewood-Paley decomposition

$$u = \sum_{q=-1}^{\infty} u_q$$

holds in the sense of distributions. To simplify the notation, we denote

$$u_{\le Q} = \sum_{q=-1}^{Q} u_q, \qquad u_{>Q} = \sum_{q=Q+1}^{\infty} u_q.$$

We will also use

$$h_Q(x) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \chi(\lambda_Q^{-1}k) e^{ik\cdot x}.$$

3.2. **Energy equality.** We prove that the fact that $\theta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2 \times (t_0,\infty))$ for all $t_0 > 0$ implies that $\theta(t)$ satisfies the basic energy equality. Namely,

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\theta(t)$ be a viscosity solution of (1.1) on $[0,\infty)$ with $\theta(0) \in L^2$ and $f \in L^p$ for some $p > 2$. Then $\theta(t)$ satisfies the following energy equality:*

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\theta(t)\|_2^2 + \kappa \int_{t_0}^{t} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta(x,s)\|^2\, ds = \frac{1}{2}\|\theta(t_0)\|_2^2 + \int_{t_0}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f\theta\, dxds$$

for all $0 \le t_0 \le t$.

Proof. Since $\theta(t)$ is a viscosity solution,

$$\lim_{t\to 0+} \|\theta(t)\|_2 = \|\theta(0)\|_2.$$

Thus, it is enough to show that the energy flux vanishes on $[t_0, T]$ for every $0 < t_0 < T$. That is,

$$\limsup_{Q\to\infty} \int_{t_0}^{T}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} u\theta \cdot \nabla(\theta_{\le Q})_{\le Q}\, dxdt = 0.$$

We use Littlewood-Paley decomposition method. Denote

$$r_Q(u,\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} h_Q(y)\,(u(x-y)-u(x))\,(\theta(x-y)-\theta(x))\, dy.$$

Then

$$(u\theta)_{\le Q} = r_Q(u,\theta) - u_{>Q}\theta_{>Q} + u_{\le Q}\theta_{\le Q}.$$

The energy flux can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_Q &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (u\theta)\cdot\nabla(\theta_{\le Q})_{\le Q}\, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (u\theta)_{\le Q}\cdot\nabla\theta_{\le Q}\, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} r_Q(u,\theta)\cdot\nabla\theta_{\le Q} dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} u_{>Q}\theta_{>Q}\cdot\nabla\theta_{\le Q}\, dx.\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} u_{\le Q}\theta_{\le Q}\cdot\nabla(\theta_{\le Q})\, dx = 0$ since u is divergence free.

Now due to the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(\cdot - y) - u(\cdot)\|_\infty &\lesssim \sum_{p\le Q} |y|\lambda_p\|u_p\|_\infty + \sum_{p>Q}\|u_p\|_\infty \\ \|\theta(\cdot - y) - \theta(\cdot)\|_2 &\lesssim \sum_{p\le Q} |y|\lambda_p\|\theta_p\|_2 + \sum_{p>Q}\|\theta_p\|_2\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|r_Q(u,\theta)\|_2 &\le \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} h_Q(y)\|u(\cdot-y)-u(\cdot)\|_\infty\|\theta(\cdot-y)-\theta(\cdot)\|_2\,dy\\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} h_Q(y)\left(\sum_{p\le Q}|y|^2\lambda_p^2\|u_p\|_\infty\|\theta_p\|_2+\sum_{p>Q}\|u_p\|_\infty\|\theta_p\|_2\right)dy\\ &\lesssim \sum_{p\le Q}\lambda_Q^{-2}\lambda_p^2\|u_p\|_\infty\|\theta_p\|_2+\sum_{p>Q}\|u_p\|_\infty\|\theta_p\|_2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, applying Bernstein's inequality we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} r_Q(u,\theta)\cdot\nabla\theta_{\le Q}\,dx\right| \le&\|r_Q(u,\theta)\|_2\|\nabla\theta_{\le Q}\|_2\\ &\lesssim \sum_{p\le Q}\lambda_Q^{-2}\lambda_p^2\|u_p\|_\infty\|\theta_p\|_2\left(\sum_{p'\le Q}\lambda_{p'}^2\|\theta_{p'}\|_2^2\right)^{\frac12}\\ &\quad+\sum_{p>Q}\|u_p\|_\infty\|\theta_p\|_2\left(\sum_{p'\le Q}\lambda_{p'}^2\|\theta_{p'}\|_2^2\right)^{\frac12}\\ &:=I+II.\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.3, $\theta\in L^\infty(t_0,\infty;L^\infty)$ for all $t_0>0$, which implies $u\in L^\infty(t_0,\infty;BMO)$. Therefore there exists C, such that $\|u_q(t)\|_\infty\le C$ for all q and a.a. $t>t_0$. Then for a.a. $t>t_0$ the terms I and II can be estimated using Hölder's inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}I&\lesssim\sum_{p\le Q}\lambda_Q^{-2}\lambda_p^2\|\theta_p\|_2\left(\sum_{p'\le Q}\lambda_{p'}^2\|\theta_{p'}\|_2^2\right)^{\frac12}\\ &\lesssim\sum_{p\le Q}\lambda_{p-Q}^{\frac32}\lambda_p^{\frac12}\|\theta_p\|_2\left(\sum_{p'\le Q}\lambda_{p'-Q}\lambda_{p'}\|\theta_{p'}\|_2^2\right)^{\frac12}\\ &\lesssim\sum_{p\le Q}\lambda_{p-Q}^3\lambda_p\|\theta_p\|_2^2+\sum_{p'\le Q}\lambda_{p'-Q}\lambda_{p'}\|\theta_{p'}\|_2^2\\ &\lesssim\sum_{p\le Q}\lambda_{p-Q}\lambda_p\|\theta_p\|_2^2,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}II&\lesssim\sum_{p>Q}\|\theta_p\|_2\left(\sum_{p'\le Q}\lambda_{p'}^2\|\theta_{p'}\|_2^2\right)^{\frac12}\\ &\lesssim\sum_{p>Q}\lambda_{Q-p}^{\frac12}\lambda_p^{\frac12}\|\theta_p\|_2\left(\sum_{p'\le Q}\lambda_{p'-Q}\lambda_{p'}\|\theta_{p'}\|_2^2\right)^{\frac12}\\ &\lesssim\sum_{p>Q}\lambda_{Q-p}\lambda_p\|\theta_p\|_2^2+\sum_{p'\le Q}\lambda_{p'-Q}\lambda_{p'}\|\theta_{p'}\|_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have the similar estimate

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} u_{>Q}\theta_{>Q}\cdot\nabla\theta_{\le Q}\,dx\right| \lesssim \sum_{p>Q}\|\theta_p\|_2\left(\sum_{p'\le Q}\lambda_{p'}^2\|\theta_{p'}\|_2^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{p>Q}\lambda_{Q-p}\lambda_p\|\theta_p\|_2^2+\sum_{p'\le Q}\lambda_{p'-Q}\lambda_{p'}\|\theta_{p'}\|_2^2.$$

Therefore

$$\left|\int_{t_0}^T \Pi_Q\,dt\right| \lesssim \sum_{p\le Q}\lambda_{|p-Q|}^{-1}\int_{t_0}^T\lambda_p\|\theta_p\|_2^2\,dt+\sum_{p>Q}\lambda_{|Q-p|}^{-1}\int_{t_0}^T\lambda_p\|\theta_p\|_2^2\,dt. \tag{3.12}$$

Since $\theta\in L^2_{loc}(0,\infty;H^{1/2}(\mathbb{T}^2))$, we have that

$$\int_{t_0}^T\lambda_p\|\theta_p\|_2^2\,dt\to 0 \qquad \text{as } p\to\infty.$$

It implies the right hand side of (3.12) converges to 0 as $Q\to\infty$, which gives that

$$\lim_{Q\to\infty}\int_{t_0}^T\Pi_Q\,dt=0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

4. Global attractor

Let $(X,\mathrm{d_s}(\cdot,\cdot))$ be a metric space endowed with a metric $\mathrm{d_s}$, which will be referred to as a strong metric. For the SQG equation, we will choose X to be an absorbing ball, and $\mathrm{d_s}$ be the L^2-metric. Let $\mathrm{d_w}(\cdot,\cdot)$ be another metric on X satisfying the following conditions:

(1) X is $\mathrm{d_w}$-compact.
(2) If $\mathrm{d_s}(u_n,v_n)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ for some $u_n,v_n\in X$, then $\mathrm{d_w}(u_n,v_n)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$.

Due to the property 2, $\mathrm{d_w}(\cdot,\cdot)$ will be referred to as a weak metric on X. Denote by $\overline{A}^{\bullet}$ the closure of a set $A\subset X$ in the topology generated by $\mathrm{d}_\bullet$. Note that any strongly compact ($\mathrm{d_s}$-compact) set is weakly compact ($\mathrm{d_w}$-compact), and any weakly closed set is strongly closed.

Let $C([a,b];X_\bullet)$, where $\bullet=\mathrm{s}$ or w, be the space of $\mathrm{d}_\bullet$-continuous X-valued functions on $[a,b]$ endowed with the metric

$$d_{C([a,b];X_\bullet)}(u,v):=\sup_{t\in[a,b]}\mathrm{d}_\bullet(u(t),v(t)).$$

Let also $C([a,\infty);X_\bullet)$ be the space of $\mathrm{d}_\bullet$-continuous X-valued functions on $[a,\infty)$ endowed with the metric

$$d_{C([a,\infty);X_\bullet)}(u,v):=\sum_{T\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{1}{2^T}\frac{\sup\{\mathrm{d}_\bullet(u(t),v(t)):a\le t\le a+T\}}{1+\sup\{\mathrm{d}_\bullet(u(t),v(t)):a\le t\le a+T\}}.$$

To define an evolutionary system, first let

$$\mathcal{T}:=\{I:\ I=[T,\infty)\subset\mathbb{R},\ \text{or } I=(-\infty,\infty)\},$$

and for each $I\subset\mathcal{T}$, let $\mathcal{F}(I)$ denote the set of all X-valued functions on I.

Definition 4.1. A map $\mathcal{E}$ that associates to each $I \in \mathcal{T}$ a subset $\mathcal{E}(I) \subset \mathcal{F}$ will be called an evolutionary system if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $\mathcal{E}([0,\infty)) \neq \emptyset$.
(2) $\mathcal{E}(I+s) = \{u(\cdot) : \ u(\cdot+s) \in \mathcal{E}(I)\}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
(3) $\{u(\cdot)|_{I_2} : u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}(I_1)\} \subset \mathcal{E}(I_2)$ for all pairs $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{T}$, such that $I_2 \subset I_1$.
(4) $\mathcal{E}((-\infty,\infty)) = \{u(\cdot) : \ u(\cdot)|_{[T,\infty)} \in \mathcal{E}([T,\infty)) \ \forall T \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

We will refer to $\mathcal{E}(I)$ as the set of all trajectories on the time interval I. Trajectories in $\mathcal{E}((-\infty,\infty))$ will be called complete. Let $P(X)$ be the set of all subsets of X. For every $t \geq 0$, define a map

$$R(t) : P(X) \to P(X),$$
$$R(t)A := \{u(t) : u \in A, u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}([0,\infty))\}, \qquad A \subset X.$$

Note that the assumptions on $\mathcal{E}$ imply that $R(s)$ enjoys the following property:

$$R(t+s)A \subset R(t)R(s)A, \qquad A \subset X, \quad t,s \geq 0. \tag{4.13}$$

Definition 4.2. A set $A \subset X$ is a $\mathrm{d}_\bullet$-attracting set ($\bullet = \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}$) if it uniformly attracts X in $\mathrm{d}_\bullet$-metric.

Definition 4.3. A set $\mathcal{A}_\bullet \subset X$ is a $\mathrm{d}_\bullet$-global attractor ($\bullet = \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}$) if $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$ is a minimal $\mathrm{d}_\bullet$-closed $\mathrm{d}_\bullet$-attracting set.

As we will see later, the evolutionary system $\mathcal{E}$ of whose trajectories are solutions to the SQG equation also satisfies the following properties:

A1 $\mathcal{E}([0,\infty))$ is a compact set in $C([0,\infty); X_\mathrm{w})$.
A2 (Energy inequality) Assume that X is a set in some Banach space H satisfying the Radon-Riesz property with the norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|$, so that $\mathrm{d_s}(x,y) = \|x-y\|$ for $x, y \in X$ and $\mathrm{d_w}$ induces the weak topology on X. Assume also that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists δ, such that for every $u \in \mathcal{E}([0,\infty))$ and $t > 0$,
$$\|u(t)\| \leq \|u(t_0)\| + \epsilon,$$
for t_0 a.e. in $(t-\delta, t) \cap [0,\infty)$.
A3 (Strong convergence a.e.) Let $u_n \in \mathcal{E}([0,\infty))$, be such that $u_n \to u \in \mathcal{E}([0,\infty))$ in $C([0,T]; X_\mathrm{w})$ for some $T > 0$. Then $u_n(t) \to u(t)$ strongly a.e. in $[0,T]$.

Definition 4.4. A Banach space H with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies the Radon-Riesz property if $x_n \to x$ in H if and only if $x_n \to x$ weakly and $\lim \|x_n\| = \|x\|$ as $n \to \infty$.

The following results were proved in [3]:

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an evolutionary system satisfying A1. Then the weak global attractor $\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{w}$ exists and*

$$\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{w} = \{u_0 : u_0 = u(0) \text{ for some } u \in \mathcal{E}((-\infty,\infty))\}.$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{E}$ also satisfies A2, A3, and every complete trajectory is strongly continuous, then

(1) *The strong global attractor $\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{s}$ exists, it is strongly compact, and $\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{s} = \mathcal{A}_\mathrm{w}$.*

(2) *(Strong uniform tracking property) for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$, there exists t_0, such that for any $t^* > t_0$, every trajectory $u \in \mathcal{E}([0,\infty))$ satisfies $\mathrm{d_s}(u(t), v(t)) < \epsilon$, for all $t \in [t^*, t^* + T]$, for some complete trajectory $v \in \mathcal{E}((-\infty,\infty))$.*

Now let us introduce some notations and functional setting. Recall that $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are the L^2-inner product and the corresponding L^2-norm. Also, define the strong and weak distances by

$$\mathrm{d_s}(u,v) := \|u - v\|_2, \qquad \mathrm{d_w}(u,v) = \sum_{\kappa\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \frac{1}{2^{|\kappa|}} \frac{|\hat{u}_\kappa - \hat{v}_\kappa|}{1 + |\hat{u}_\kappa - \hat{v}_\kappa|}, \qquad u, v \in L^2,$$

where $\hat{u}_\kappa$ and $\hat{v}_\kappa$ are Fourier coefficients of u and v respectively.

Recall that the force f has zero mean and $f \in L^p$ for some $p > 2$. Thanks to the energy equality (Theorem 3.1), there exists an absorbing ball for the 2D SQG

$$X = \{\theta \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) : \|\theta\|_2 \le R\},$$

where R as any number larger than $\|f\|_{H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{T}^2)}\kappa^{-1}\sqrt{L/(2\pi)}$, where L is the length of the periodic box. Then for any bounded set $B \subset L^2$ there exists a time t_0, such that

$$\theta(t) \in X, \qquad \forall t \ge t_0,$$

for every viscosity solution $\theta(t)$ with the initial data $\theta(0) \in B$.

Consider an evolutionary system for which a family of trajectories consists of all viscosity solutions of the SQG in X. More precisely, define

$$\mathcal{E}([T,\infty)) := \{\theta(\cdot) : \theta(\cdot) \text{ is a viscosity solution of (1.1) on } [T,\infty) \text{ and } \theta(t) \in X \ \forall t \in [T,\infty)\}, \qquad T \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\mathcal{E}((\infty,\infty)) := \{\theta(\cdot) : \theta(\cdot) \text{ is a viscosity solution of (1.1) on } (-\infty,\infty) \text{ and } \theta(t) \in X \ \forall t \in (-\infty,\infty)\}.$$

Clearly, the properties 1–4 of $\mathcal{E}$ hold. Therefore, thanks to Theorem 4.5, the weak global attractor $\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{w}$ for this evolutionary system exists. Moreover, we have the following.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\theta_n(t)$ be a sequence of viscosity solutions of the SQG, such that $\theta_n(t) \in X$ for all $t \ge t_0$. Then there exists a subsequence θ_{n_j} of θ_n that converges in $C([t_0,T]; X_\mathrm{w})$ to some viscosity solution $\theta(t)$.*

Lemma 4.7. *The evolutionary system $\mathcal{E}$ of the SQG satisfies A1, A2, and A3.*

Proof. First note that $\mathcal{E}([0,\infty)) \subset C([0,\infty); X_\mathrm{w})$. Now take any sequence $\theta_n \in \mathcal{E}([0,\infty))$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Thanks to Lemma 4.6, there exists a subsequence, still denoted by θ_n, that converges to some $\theta^1 \in \mathcal{E}([0,\infty))$ in $C([0,1]; L^2_w)$ as $n \to \infty$. Passing to a subsequence and dropping a subindex once more, we obtain that $\theta_n \to \theta^2$ in $C([0,2]; L^2_w)$ as $n \to \infty$ for some $\theta^2 \in \mathcal{E}([0,\infty))$. Note that $\theta^1(t) = \theta^2(t)$ on $[0,1]$. Continuing this diagonalization process, we obtain a subsequence θ_{n_j} of θ_n that converges to some $\theta \in \mathcal{E}([0,\infty))$ in $C([0,\infty); L^2_w)$ as $n_j \to \infty$. Therefore, A1 holds.

Now, given $\epsilon > 0$, let $\delta = \epsilon/(2\|f\|_2 R)$. Take any $\theta \in \mathcal{E}([0,\infty))$ and $t > 0$. Due to Theorem 3.1, $\theta(t)$ satisfies the energy equality

$$\|\theta(t)\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \int_{t_0}^t \|\Lambda^{1/2}\theta(s)\|_2^2 \, ds = \|\theta(t_0)\|_2^2 + 2\int_{t_0}^t (f, \theta(s)) \, ds,$$

for all $0 \le t_0 \le t$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\theta(t)\|_2^2 &\le \|\theta(t_0)\|_2^2 + 2(t-t_0)\|f\|_2 R \\ &\le \|\theta(t_0)\|_2 + \epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

for all $t_0 \ge 0$ such that $t_0 \in (t-\delta, t)$. Therefore, A2 holds.

Let now $\theta_n \in \mathcal{E}([0,\infty))$ be such that $\theta_n \to \theta \in \mathcal{E}([0,\infty))$ in $C([0,T]; X_{\rm w})$ as $n \to \infty$ for some $T > 0$. Thanks to the energy equality, the sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ is bounded in $L^2([0,T]; H^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Hence,

$$\int_0^T \|\theta_n(s) - \theta(s)\|_2^2 \, ds \to 0, \qquad \text{as} \qquad n \to \infty.$$

In particular, $\|\theta_n(t)\|_2 \to \|\theta(t)\|_2$ as $n \to \infty$ a.e. on $[0,T]$, i.e., A3 holds. □

Note that every solution of the SQG is strongly continuous due to Theorem 3.1. Now Theorem 4.5 yields the following.

Theorem 4.8. *The SQG equation possesses a strongly compact strong global attractor $\mathcal{A}$,*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\theta_0 : \ \theta_0 = \theta(0) \text{ for some } \theta \in \mathcal{E}((-\infty,\infty))\}.$$

In addition, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$, there exists t_0, such that for any $t^* > t_0$, every viscosity solution $\theta \in \mathcal{E}([0,\infty))$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{d_s}(\theta(t), v(t)) < \epsilon, \qquad \forall t \in [t^*, t^* + T],$$

for some complete trajectory $v \in \mathcal{E}((-\infty,\infty))$.

References

[1] H. Bahouri, J. Chemin, and R. Danchin. *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*. Grundlehrender Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 343. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[2] L.A. Caffarelli and A. Vasseur. *Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasi-geostrophic equation*. Ann. of Math. (2), 171(3):1903–1930, 2010.

[3] A. Cheskidov. *Global attractors of evolutionary systems*. Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations, 21: 249–268, 2009.

[4] A. Cheskidov, P. Constantin, S. Friedlander and R. Shvydkoy. *Energy conservation and Onsager's conjecture for the Euler equations*. Nonlinearity, 21: 1233–1252, 2008.

[5] A. Cheskidov and C. Foias. *On global attractors of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations*. J. Differential Equations, 231(2), 714-754, 2006.

[6] P. Constantin, D. Córdoba and J. Wu. *On the critical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation*. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 50(Special Issue):97–107, 2001.

[7] P. Constantin, A.J. Majda, and E. Tabak. *Formation of strong fronts in the 2-D quasi-geostrophic thermal active scalar*. Nonlinearity, 7(6):1495–1533, 1994.

[8] P. Constantin, A. Tarfulea, and V. Vicol. *Absence of anomalous dissipation of energy in forced two dimensional fluid equations*. arXiv:1305.7089, 2013.

[9] P. Constantin, A. Tarfulea, and V. Vicol. *Long time dynamics of forced critical SQG*. arXiv: 1308.0640, 2013.

[10] P. Constantin and V. Vicol. *Nonlinear maximum principles for dissipative linear nonlocal operators and applications*. Geometric And Functional Analysis, 22(5):1289–1321, 2012.

[11] P. Constantin and J. Wu. *Behavior of solutions of 2D Quasi-Geostrophic equations*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 30, no. 5, 937-948, 1999.

[12] A. Córdoba and D. Córdoba. *A maximum principle applied to quasi-geostrophic equations*. Comm. Math. Phys., 249(3):511–528, 2004.

[13] H. Dong. *Dissipative quasi-geostrophic equations in critical Sobolev spaces: smoothing effect and global well- posedness*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 26(4):1197–1211, 2010.
[14] H. Dong and D. Du. *Global well-posedness and a decay estimate for the critical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation in the whole space*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 21(4):1095–1101, 2008.
[15] S. Friedlander, N. Pavlović, and V. Vicol. *Nonlinear instability for the critically dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation*. Comm. Math. Phys., 292(3):797?810, 2009.
[16] L. Grafakos. *Modern Fourier analysis*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 250. Springer, New York, 2009.
[17] A. Kiselev, and F. Nazarov. *A variation on a theme of Caffarelli and Vasseur*. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI), 370(Kraevye Zadachi Matematicheskoi Fiziki i Smezhnye Voprosy Teorii Funktsii. 40):58–72, 220, 2009.
[18] A. Kiselev and F. Nazarov. *Global regularity for the critical dispersive dissipative surface quasi-geostrophic equation*. Nonlinearity, 23(3):549–554, 2010.
[19] A. Kiselev, F. Nazarov and A. Volberg. *Global well-posedness for the critical 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation*. Invent. Math., 167(3):445–453, 2007.
[20] J. Pedlosky. *Geostrophical Fluid Dynamics*. Springer Verlag, New York, 1987.
[21] M. E. Schonbek and T. Schonbek. *Asymptotic behavior to dissipative Quasi-Geostrophic equations*. SIAM J. Math, Anal. 35, no2: 357-375, 2003.
[22] M. E. Schonbek and T. Schonbek. *Moments and Lower bounds in the far-field of solutions to Quasi-Geostrophic flows*. Journal of Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series A , volume 13, no 5 , December 2005.
[23] L. Silvestre and V. Vicol. *Hölder continuity for a drift-diffusion equation with pressure*. Annales de lInstitut Henri Poincare (C) Non Linear Analysis, 20(4):637–652, 2012.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Chicago, IL 60607,USA
E-mail address: acheskid@uic.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Chicago, IL 60607,USA
E-mail address: mdai@uic.edu